

Graduate Report Grading Rubric

Overview

- (3) Summarizes the Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion with the most important details of the report succinctly. Can understand the paper easily by reading the Overview.
- (2) Summarizes the Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion with some relevant details. Gives a good impression of what the paper is about, but some aspects are not clear.
- (1) Vague summary of the paper – the goal of the paper is provided – but not very informative.
- (0) Lacking any meaningful summary of the paper.

Methods and Key Findings

- (3) The dataset and methods were explained in detail demonstrating understanding of tools and problem space. The experiment details were also presented clearly.
- (2) Some minor details regarding the dataset, methods or experiments were missing, but the overall section was still understandable. And demonstrates understanding of the project by the students.
- (1) Either the dataset, methods or experiments were not explained with sufficient detail, but the overall project implementation is reasonable.
- (0) Significant gaps of with respect to the methodology demonstrates a lack of understanding of the project.

Significance

- (3) The analysis and interpretations are strong, and clearly follows the logic of the research and results in the paper. This demonstrates an understanding of the methods being implemented. Excellent discussion of the shortcomings of the methods and results obtained in the paper.
- (2) The analysis is good but there are some significant weaknesses or lapses in the logic or explanations, demonstrating a lack of some understanding of the methods in the paper. Discussion of the problems in the methods applied was relevant but was missing some key details.
- (1) The analysis is uninteresting or uninspired, tending toward description. Demonstrates a lack of understanding of techniques and results. Some limitations of the paper are discussed but mostly lacking in relevance.
- (0) There is little interaction and connection between results and analysis. Minimal evidence for understanding of techniques and results. The limitations provided do not really address the issues with the chosen methodologies and results.

Grammar, Formatting, Files Submitted

- (3) Report is easy to follow. Format guidelines followed. PDF and Word version is submitted.
- (2) There are a few minor problems in the text (such as typos and grammar) and template format.
- (1) Some problems are present with the language of the text (language is unclear and/or shallow) which effects the technical aspects and analysis of the report. There are some problems with the template as well.
- (0) Significant problems are present with the language of the text (language is murky, confused and difficult to follow) which effect the understanding of the technical aspects of the paper. Template is not used properly. Only PDF is submitted of the report was submitted.

Paper Selection

- (3) Interesting and challenging paper to review.
- (2) Good paper for reviewing.
- (1) Selected paper not really relevant for reviewing.
- (0) Not suitable for analysis.